REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuli (U.S. Publication 2004/0139208) in view of Brisebois et al. (U.S. Patent 6,219,679).

Please amend Claims 1 and 8 as set forth herein. No new matter has been added.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claims 1 and 8 under §103(a), the Examiner states that Tuli in view of Brisebois et al. render the claims obvious.

On October 11, 2007 Applicants' representative, Michael J. Musella, conducted a telephonic interview with the Examiner. During the interview, it was agreed that the concept of scrolling to a last viewed display area of the web page was not taught or disclosed by the cited references, but that this feature was not clearly embodied in the claims. It was also agreed that this feature would more clearly be recited if the claims were amended to read, "detecting the previous display information of the web page from the memory, displaying the web page, and by utilizing the previous display information when the web page is re-visited scrolling to a last viewed display area of the web page, wherein the previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the revisited web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of the last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page." Independent Claims 1 and 8 have been amended accordingly.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of Claim 1 and 8 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1 and 8 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul JUFarrell Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Suite 701 Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel: (516) 228-3565 Fax: (516) 228-8475

PJF/MJM/dr